

Student Assessment of Teaching
Spring 2007
Written Comments

Instructor: Andrew Quinn
Course: SWK 331

DESCRIBE SOME ASPECTS OF THIS COURSE THAT PROMOTED YOUR LEARNING.

- ✦ *Weekly group work, content summaries, real life examples.*
- ✦ *The content summaries, whole annoying helped in understanding.*
- ✦ *Content summary and group work made you actually evaluate if you knew the stuff.*
- ✦ *The content summaries were great help in understanding the material for this class.*
- ✦ *Content summaries – PPT's*
- ✦ *I liked that we did a lot of in class assignments in our groups. That helps to cut down on homework.*
- ✦ *The content summaries were very helpful in learning the materials. I also like the use of the clickers – thought they added a lot to the learning.*
- ✦ *The papers helped me learn what I feel like is the most important.*
- ✦ *Clicker questions help understand materials and gave good examples.*
- ✦ *The clickers aided in my learning by quizzing me on materials covered in lecture. Also, the content summarizes were a good way to review lecture.*
- ✦ *Having to write the content summaries help my learning of the material yet was a lot of stress a lot of busy work.*
- ✦ *The content summaries and group work and the clicker question.*
- ✦ *Detailed syllabus was very beneficial materials on blackboard was great.*
- ✦ *I think Dr. Quinn did a good job bridging the gap with students who "Got it" right from the start, and the students who took longer to "Get it" considering the subject area. The content summaries helped a ton!*
- ✦ *Good lectures and respected us and when we needed help.*
- ✦ *Application of the concepts/procedures of research to a specific topic enhanced my understanding of them and how/where to apply them.*
- ✦ *I thought the group work allowed us to apply the information we've learned. The content summaries helped too.*
- ✦ *All the group work we did in class.*

- ✚ *Group work in class so we could ask questions.*
- ✚ *The clickers, they keep people involved.*
- ✚ *Working in groups/research.*
- ✚ *Content summaries and group work.*
- ✚ *Group work. Clickers.*
- ✚ *Clicker questions help to understand the material better.*

WHAT SPECIFIC, PRACTICAL CHANGES CAN YOU RECOMMEND THAT MIGHT IMPROVE THE LEARNING IN THIS COURSE?

- ✚ Overall, I think this course need little improvement.
- ✚ Scrap the section on states – to difficult to explain so dense of a topic in one lecture.
- ✚ N/A
- ✚ No suggestions.
- ✚ Nothing.
- ✚ I would say the book should be recommended instead of required. I very rarely opened my book.
- ✚ Instructor jumped around a lot. Hard to follow understand – could tell who his “favorite” students were – tough subject to teach but thought he could have spent more time explaining certain areas.
- ✚ Going step by step in the research process can be confusing. Difficult to see the bigger picture and put everything learned together.
- ✚ N/A
- ✚ The content summary no need to summarize the notes only how you would use the information to do a study.
- ✚ N/A.
- ✚ Identifying a general topic @ beginning of course and using it to demonstrate each of the concepts so groups/members have a clearer understanding on how to apply the methods of their own topics.
- ✚ Take a little more time on the notes. It would have helped to know what type of research project we were doing at the beginning to avoid topic changes.
- ✚ Make notes more clear.
- ✚ Less papers.
- ✚ None.
- ✚ None.
- ✚ Not so many papers. Make lectures a little more interesting.

IF A STUDENT ASKED WHETHER YOU WOULD RECOMMEND THIS COURSE FROM THIS INSTRUCTOR, WHAT WOULD YOU RECOMMEND AND WHY?

- ✚ I would recommend the course if needed but not “for fun” – I would definitely whole heartedly recommend any

course from this instructor because its much more interesting to learn things from Quinn's pov.

- ✦ No, topic is dry. Prof is interesting but topic is boring.
- ✦ Yes, great, engaging teacher that really cares about the students.
- ✦ Yes, although some material can be a little dry. Quinn does a great job in engaging the students.
- ✦ Yes, Quinn is a great teacher who provides applicable HW assignments and feedback.
- ✦ Yes, I would recommend Quinn.
- ✦ No b/c of above.
- ✦ I would recommend this class because I think it is taught and organized well.
- ✦ Absolutely, the material in the course is dry and professor Quinn makes the class interesting. He's a great teacher.
- ✦ The course is so so, instructor I would recommend.
- ✦ Yes, the instructor is personable easy to talk to and interact with.
- ✦ A lot of work – but you end up with a lot of knowledge about research.
- ✦ Yes, It is very through and concise for research basics.
- ✦ Definitely. The course material was kind of tough for me but, see 23 above. Dr. Quinn has improved a great deal as a professor. Thanks.
- ✦ Yes, learned methods beneficial for future – good teacher.
- ✦ Would recommend as research is integral to most course content in all fields of study @ University level. Practical application practices used in this courses very good.
- ✦ Yes, he made the course very hands on the I learned a lot.
- ✦ Yes. Very effective in teaching. Makes sure everybody understands topic before moving on.
- ✦ Yes, a lot of work but group helps out. Instructor is helpful.
- ✦ Yes, you actually learn, it is fun also.
- ✦ Yes, because he does a very good with getting the class involved.
- ✦ Applies material to real life situations.
- ✦ I don't know if I would b/c it was so boring.